			DISTRICT COURT RICT OF NEW YORK			
PEN	INY T.	COLLI	NS,			
			Plaintiff,			
v.				5:07-CV-0493 (GTS/DEP)		
COI	RRECT	IONAL	TE DEPARTMENT OF SERVICES; JOHN BURGE; M; and TROY MITCHELL,			
			Defendants.			
			SPECIAL VERDICT	<u> FORM</u>		
I.	CLA	IMS				
	You must answer Questions 1 and 2. If you find in favor of Plaintiff on any of her claims, then you must proceed to Section II, entitled "Damages."					
	1.	1. HOSTILE WORK ENVIRONMENT CLAIM AGAINST DOCS UNDER TITLE VII AND NYHRL				
		a.	subjected to a hostile work enviro	erance of the evidence, that she was onment?		
			YES NO	<u> </u>		
	If your answer to Question 1a is "NO," then you must render a verdict in favor of DOCS on this claim, and proceed to Question 2. If your answer to Question 1a is "YES," then proceed to Question 1b.					
	b. Did Plaintiff prove, by a preponderance of the evidence, that a legal basic exists under Title VII for imputing the conduct that created the hostile work environment to DOCS?					
			YES NO			

Regardless of how you answered this question, proceed to Question 1c.

	c.	Did Plaintiff prove, by a preponderance of the evidence, that a legal basis exists under NYHRL for imputing the conduct that created the hostile work environment to DOCS?					
		YES	NO				
favor of found answer Hostile	of the De in favor r to Que e Work	of Plaintiff on her T	If your ans Title VII He hen you ha	wer to Que ostile Work we found in	estion 1b is Environments Envor of P	"YES," then you have ent claim. If your Plaintiff on her NYHRL	
2.	SECTION 1983 SEXUAL HARASSMENT CLAIM AGAINST DEFENDANTS BURGE, GRAHAM AND MITCHELL						
	a.	Did Plaintiff prove, by a preponderance of the evidence, that one or more of Defendants Burge, Graham and/or Mitchell individually sexually harassed her?					
		Burge	YES		NO	\checkmark	
		Graham	YES		NO	<u> </u>	
		Mitchell	YES		NO		
procee answe	ed to Qu r to Que	to Question 2a is " estion 2b, with rega estion 2a is "NO" as ect in favor of that D	ord to that i to one or	Defendant (more listed	or those De l Defendani	efendants. If your ts, then you must	
	b.	Do you find that the Defendant or Defendants, for whom you answered "YES" to Question 2a, is or are entitled to qualified immunity on Plaintiff's Section 1983 Sexual Harassment claim?					
		Burge	YES		NO		
		Graham	YES		NO		
		Mitchell	YES		NO		

If your answer to Question 2b is "YES," then you must render a verdict in favor of that Defendant or those Defendants on this claim. If your answer to Question 2b is "NO," then you have found in favor of Plaintiff as to that Defendant or those Defendants on Plaintiff's Section 1983 Sexual Harassment claim.

II. **DAMAGES**

Answer the following questions only if you found in favor of Plaintiff on one or more of her claims.

3. Did Plaintiff prove, by a preponderance of the evidence, that she sustained an injury?

> YES \ NO

If your answer to Question 3 is "NO," then you must skip the next question and proceed to Question 5. If your answer to Question 3 is "YES," then proceed to Question 4.

4. Did Plaintiff prove, by a preponderance of the evidence, that the act or omission alleged in the claim or claims for which you found in favor of Plaintiff, was the proximate cause of the injury (or injuries) and/or emotional distress that she suffered?

YES _____ NO ____

If your answer to Question 4 is "NO," then you must proceed to Question 5 and award Plaintiff nominal damages in an amount not to exceed \$1. If your answer to Question 4 is "YES," then you must proceed to Question 5 and award Plaintiff compensatory damages (not to include back pay and/or front pay).

5. If you answered "NO" to Question 3 or Question 4, but you found in favor of Plaintiff on her Title VII claim against DOCS or you found in favor of Plaintiff on her Section 1983 claim against Defendants Burge, Graham and/or Mitchell, you must enter the nominal damages amount of \$1.00. If you answered "YES" to Questions 3 and 4, state the amount of damages to which Plaintiff is entitled to recover in compensation for her injuries (not to include back pay and/or front pay):

\$500 000.10 .

If you find that Plaintiff is entitled to back pay and/or front pay for lost wages, 6. state the amount(s):

Back pay #/50,000, ~

7.	Mitchell, did Plaintiff also Defendant Mitchell violate	prove, by ed her con	her Section 1983 claim against Defendant a preponderance of the evidence, that stitutional right to be free from sexual at with malice or reckless indifference to that
	YES	NO	$\overline{\checkmark}$

FOREPERSON, PLEASE DATE AND SIGN THE SPECIAL VERDICT FORM BELOW, AND NOTIFY THE MARSHAL THAT YOU HAVE REACHED A VERDICT.

Dated: March <u>2/</u>, 2012 Jury Foreperson:

REDACTED